

Oil & Gas Survey Results

City of Aurora Planning & Development Department

September 11, 2014 – September 22, 2014

Tank and Tower Heights

<u>Don't Allow Waivers</u>	<u>25</u>
Companies need to comply with 20 foot regulations	10
Use lower profile equipment that meets air quality requirements	7
Do not amend code	8
<u>Allow Waivers</u>	<u>13</u>
Amend code so that the air is clean	8
No height restriction needed – use available technology	2
Comply with state regulations, but keep as low as possible	3
<u>Mixed Opinion</u>	<u>3</u>
Evaluate tower height based on distance to urban areas	2
Make tower blend into surroundings better	1
<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>No Response</u>	<u>1</u>

Access Road/Fire Lane Width

<u>Don't Allow Waivers</u>	<u>21</u>
Roads width should remain at 23 feet in case of emergency	14
Reducing width is a safety hazard in urban areas	4
Build wider access roads now to prevent future development issues	3
<u>Allow Waivers</u>	<u>11</u>
16 feet is appropriate for emergency access	8
Reducing the footprint of well pad site is good	3
<u>Mixed Opinion</u>	<u>5</u>
Only reduce width if emergency vehicle access is not limited	4
Allow narrower access road only if it won't be used for other purposes	1
<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>No Response</u>	<u>3</u>

Fence Type

<u>Don't Allow Waivers</u>	<u>25</u>
Fences should be required everywhere, but higher standards are needed near residential	5
Stop allowing companies to "cut corners" to save money	7
Fences needed to lessen the visual and noise impact	6
Hold companies to as high of a standard as any other use in the city	3
Safety concerns with allowing no fencing around well sites	4
<u>Allow Waivers</u>	<u>1</u>
Operations should be transparent to prevent hiding environmental issues	1
<u>Mixed Opinion</u>	<u>8</u>
Fences are needed in urban areas, but are inappropriate in rural areas	6
Companies should put up fencing only if development is in a mile radius	2
<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>No Response</u>	<u>3</u>

Setback Requirements

<u>Against Proposed 500 ft. Setback</u>	<u>18</u>
City should impose greater setbacks near residential areas	8
A 500 ft. setback still poses a direct threat to the health and safety of nearby residents	5
Noise and light from the operations are visible at this distance	3
Well sites are too close to residential developments and will decrease property values	2
<u>For Proposed 500 ft. Setback</u>	<u>22</u>
Setbacks should be brought into compliance with state regulations	22
<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>No Response</u>	<u>2</u>

Landscaping

<u>Don't Allow Waivers</u>	<u>28</u>
Environmentally appropriate xeriscaping should be required at a minimum	5
Landscaping is needed to make the sites as attractive as possible to nearby residents	9
Companies are already bringing in millions of gallons of water, so lack of water is not an excuse	5
Clean and simple landscaping should be required at all well sites	4
Companies are making a lot of money from drilling, so they should have to pay for landscaping	2
Every other use in the city requires landscaping and this should be no exception	3
<u>Allow Waivers</u>	<u>4</u>
Remove landscaping requirement when there is no permanent water source available	3
Without water and a long-term development plan, landscaping is a waste of money	1
<u>Mixed Opinion</u>	<u>8</u>
Create different standards for sites visible from residential developments	4
Landscaping will make the sites a little less intrusive, but won't solve the problem	3
Only provide landscaping if it helps reduce pollution from the site	1
Make the sites as attractive as possible without burdening the industry with excessive costs	1
<u>No Opinion</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>No Response</u>	<u>1</u>