

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2016

MATT CRANE, ARAPAHOE COUNTY CLERK AND RECORDER ALL CONTESTS ARE NOT INCLUDED ON ALL BALLOTS. CHECK YOUR PRECINCT FOR SPECIFIC CONTENT.

FEDERAL			STATE									
Presidential Electors (Vote for One Pair)			State Board of Congressional (Vote for One)	Education Member District 6								
Democratic	Hillary Clinton / Tim Kain	e 🔾	Republican	Debora L. Scheffel	\bigcirc							
Republican	Donald J. Trump / Michael R. Pend	e 🔾	Democratic	Rebecca McClellan	\bigcirc							
American Constitution	Darrell L. Castle / Scott N. Bradle	у 🔘		University of Colorado								
Libertarian	Gary Johnson / Bill We	d 🔘	At Large (Vote for One)									
Green	Jill Stein / Ajamu Barak	a 🔘	Democratic	Alice Madden	0							
Approval Voting	Frank Atwood / Blake Hube	er 🔘	Republican	Heidi Ganahl	\bigcirc							
American Delta	"Rocky" Roque De La Fuente / Michael Steinber	g 🔾	Regent of the	University of Colorado								
Prohibition	James Hedges / Bill Baye	s 🔾	Congressional (Vote for One)	District 1								
America's	Tom Hoefling / Steve Schul	n 🔘	Democratic	Jack Kroll	0							
Veterans of America	Chris Keniston / Deacon Taylo		Write-in		\bigcirc							
Socialist Workers	Alyson Kennedy / Osborne Ha		Regent of the	University of Colorado								
Independent American	Kyle Kenley Kopitke / Nathan R. Sorenso		Congressional District 4 (Vote for One)									
Kotlikoff for President	Laurence Kotlikoff / Edward Leame		Republican Suzanne M. Sharkey									
Socialism and Liberation	Gloria Estela La Riva / Dennis J. Bank		Democratic	Bob Owens	\bigcirc							
Nonviolent Resistance/Pacifist	Bradford Lyttle / Hannah Wals		State Senator	Bos Gwollo								
Independent People	Joseph Allen Maldonado / Douglas K. Terranov		District 26									
American Solidarity	Michael A. Maturen / Juan Munc		(Vote for One) Democratic	Daniel Kagan	\bigcirc							
Unaffiliated	Evan McMullin / Nathan Johnson		Republican	Nancy A. Doty	_							
Unaffiliated			State Senator	Naticy A. Doty	0							
Nutrition	Ryan Alan Scott / Bruce Kendall Barnar		District 27									
	Rod Silva / Richard C. Silv		(Vote for One)	Lat Tab								
Unaffiliated	Mike Smith / Daniel White		Republican	Jack Tate								
Socialist USA Write-in	Emidio Soltysik / Angela Nicole Walke		Democratic	Tom Sullivan								
United States Senator (Vote for One)		<u> </u>	State Senator District 28 (Vote for One)									
			Democratic	Nancy Todd	$\frac{\circ}{\circ}$							
Democratic	Michael Benne		Republican	James Woodley	$\overline{}$							
Republican	Darryl Glen		State Senator District 29									
	n to limit service to no more than 2 terms) Lily Tang William		(Vote for One)									
Green	Arn Menco		Republican	Sebastian Chunn	<u> </u>							
	n to limit service to no more than 2 terms) Bill Hammor		Democratic	Rhonda Fields	\bigcirc							
Unaffiliated	Dan Chap		Libertarian	Michele Poague	0							
Unaffiliated	Paul Noel Fiorin	0 🔾	State Senator District 31									
Vrite-in		0	(Vote for One)									
Representative to the 115th Uni Vote for One)	ted States Congress - District 1		Republican	Bob Lane	\bigcirc							
vote for one)			Democratic	Lois Court	\bigcirc							
Democratic	Diana DeGett	e 🔾	STATE									
Republican (Signed declaration	on to limit service to no more than 3 terms) Charles "Casper" Stockhal	n 🔾	State Represe	ntative								
Libertarian	Darrell Dinge	s O	District 3 (Vote for One)									
	ited States Congress - District 4		Democratic	Jeff Bridges	\bigcirc							
Vote for One)			Republican	Katy Brown	0							
Democratic	Bob Sea	у 🔘	State Represe	ntative								
Republican	Ken Bud	k 🔘	District 9 (Vote for One)									
Libertarian	Bruce Griffit	h 🔘	Republican	Paul Stevens Martin	\bigcirc							
Vrite-in		0	Democratic	Paul Rosenthal	\bigcirc							
Representative to the 115th Unit Vote for One)	ited States Congress - District 6		State Represe District 36 (Vote for One)	ntative								
Republican	Mike Coffma	n 🔾	Democratic	Mike Weissman	0							
Democratic	Morgan Carro		Republican	Richard J. Bowman	$\overline{\bigcirc}$							
	n to limit service to no more than 3 terms) Norm Olse		State Represe									
Green	Robert Lee Worthe		District 37									
	Robert Lee Worths	у 🔾	(Vote for One) Democratic	Caral A Barrett								
				Carol A. Barrett								
			Republican	Cole Wist	\bigcirc							

+											

STATE			City of Cherry Hills Village	District Court Judge - 18th Judicial District
State Representative			Mayor - Two-year term	(Vote YES or NO)
District 38 (Vote for One)			(Vote for One)	Shall Judge Natalie T. Chase of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
Republican	Susan Beckman	0	Laura Christman	
Democratic	Robert Bowen	0	Councilmember District 1 - Four-year term	YES O NO O
State Representative District 40			(Vote for One)	Shall Judge Jeffrey K. Holmes of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
(Vote for One)			Randy Weil	V50 0 6
Democratic	Janet Buckner	$\frac{\circ}{\circ}$	Councilmember District 3 - Four-year term (Vote for One)	Shall Judge Frederick Thomas Martinez of the 18th
Republican	Todd Brophy	<u> </u>		Judicial District be retained in office?
State Representative District 41			Al Blum O	V50 C
(Vote for One)			Rose Lynch	YES NO Shall Judge Carlos Armando Samour Jr. of the 18th
Republican	Linda Garrison	$\frac{\circ}{\circ}$	Councilmember District 5 - Four-year term (Vote for One)	Judicial District be retained in office?
Democratic	Jovan Melton	<u> </u>		V70 0
State Representative District 42			Daniel R. Sheldon	YES NO Shall Judge David John Stevens of the 18th Judicial
(Vote for One)			Colorado Supreme Court Justice (Vote YES or NO)	District be retained in office?
Republican	Mike Donald	0	l`	
Democratic	Dominique Jackson	0	Shall Justice William Hood of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?	1200
State Representative District 56				Shall Judge Elizabeth Ann Weishaupl of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office?
(Vote for One)			YES NO	
Democratic	Matt Snider	0	Colorado Court of Appeals Judge	YES NO NO
Republican	Phil Covarrubias	0	(Vote YES or NO)	County Court Judge - Arapahoe
Libertarian	Kevin Gulbranson	0	Shall Judge Karen M. Ashby of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	(Vote YES or NO)
District Attorney				Shall Judge Anne M. Ollada of the Arapahoe County Court be retained in office?
18th Judicial District (Vote for One)			YES NO	
Republican	George H. Brauchler	0	Shall Judge Michael H. Berger of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	TEO NO O
Regional Transportation	on District Director		P. P	Ballot Questions / Issues
District A (Vote for One)			YES O NO O	Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the
	Regan Byrd	0	Shall Judge Steven L. Bernard of the Colorado Cour of Appeals be retained in office?	people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and
	Kate Williams	\bigcirc	or reposite se retained in Onlog?	a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question
	Aaron D. Goldhamer	\bigcirc	YES NO	is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against
	Mike Cerbo	\bigcirc	Shall Judge Stephanie E. Dunn of the Colorado	changing current law or existing circumstances.
Regional Transportation	on District Director		Court of Appeals be retained in office?	State of Colorado
District D (Vote for One)			YES O NO O	Amendment T (Constitutional)
14010 101 0110)	Jeffrey Allen Walker	0	Shall Judge David Furman of the Colorado Court of	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution
Regional Transportation	•		Appeals be retained in office?	concerning the removal of the exception to the prohibition of slavery and involuntary servitude when used as
District E (Vote for One)			YES O NO O	punishment for persons duly convicted of a crime?
(vote for One)	Claudia L. Folska	0	Shall Judge Robert D. Hawthorne of the Colorado	YES/FOR NO/AGAINST
	JM (Maria J) Fay	0	Court of Appeals be retained in office?	Amendment U (Constitutional)
Regional Transportation	, , ,		YES NO	Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution
District F			Shall Judge Jerry N. Jones of the Colorado Court of	concerning an exemption from property taxation for a possessory interest in real property if the actual value of
(Vote for One)	Bob Broom	\bigcirc	Appeals be retained in office?	the interest is less than or equal to six thousand dollars or such amount adjusted for inflation?
Regional Transportation			YES NO	YES/FOR NO/AGAINST
District G			Shall Judge Anthony J. Navarro of the Colorado	125. 5.1 5
(Vote for One)	Ken Mihalik	\bigcap	Court of Appeals be retained in office?	
Regional Transportation			YES NO	
District H	2.00.000		Shall Judge Gilbert M. Román of the Colorado Court	-
(Vote for One)	Doug Tiedele		of Appeals be retained in office?	
COUNTY	Doug Tisdale		YES NO	
County Commissioner			YES NO Shall Judge Diana Terry of the Colorado Court of	_
District 1			Appeals be retained in office?	
(Vote for One)	IZ-ALI O C		VEC	
Republican	Kathleen Conti	<u> </u>	YES NO	
County Commissioner District 3				
(Vote for One)				
Republican	Jeff Baker	0		
Democratic	Janet Lee Cook	0		
County Commissioner District 5	r			
(Vote for One)				
Democratic	Bill Holen	0		
Republican	Bob LeGare	\bigcirc		

State of Colorado

Amendment 69 (Constitutional)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$25 BILLION ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR, AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS THAT ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING A HEALTH CARE PAYMENT SYSTEM TO FUND HEALTH CARE FOR ALL INDIVIDUALS WHOSE PRIMARY RESIDENCE IS IN COLORADO, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY CALLED COLORADOCARE TO ADMINISTER THE HEALTH CARE PAYMENT SYSTEM; PROVIDING FOR THE GOVERNANCE OF COLORADOCARE BY AN INTERIM APPOINTED BOARD OF TRUSTEES UNTIL AN ELECTED BOARD OF TRUSTEES TAKES RESPONSIBILITY; EXEMPTING COLORADOCARE FROM THE TAXPAYER'S BILL OF RIGHTS; ASSESSING AN INITIAL TAX ON THE TOTAL PAYROLL FROM EMPLOYERS, PAYROLL INCOME FROM EMPLOYEES, AND NONPAYROLL INCOME AT VARYING RATES; INCREASING THESE TAX RATES WHEN COLORADOCARE BEGINS MAKING HEALTH CARE PAYMENTS FOR BENEFICIARIES; CAPPING THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF INCOME SUBJECT TO TAXATION; AUTHORIZING THE BOARD TO INCREASE THE TAXES IN SPECIFIED CIRCUMSTANCES UPON APPROVAL OF THE MEMBERS OF COLORADOCARE; REQUIRING COLORADOCARE TO CONTRACT WITH HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS TO PAY FOR SPECIFIC HEALTH CARE BENEFITS; TRANSFERRING ADMINISTRATION OF THE MEDICAID AND CHILDREN'S BASIC HEALTH PROGRAMS AND ALL OTHER STATE AND FEDERAL HEALTH CARE FUNDS FOR COLORADO TO COLORADOCARE; TRANSFERRING RESPONSIBILITY TO COLORADOCARE FOR MEDICAL CARE THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE PAID FOR BY WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE: REQUIRING COLORADOCARE TO APPLY FOR A WAIVER FROM THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT TO ESTABLISH A COLORADO HEALTH CARE PAYMENT SYSTEM; AND SUSPENDING THE OPERATIONS OF THE COLORADO HEALTH BENEFIT EXCHANGE AND TRANSFERRING ITS RESOURCES TO COLORADOCARE?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST (

Amendment 70 (Constitutional)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution increasing the minimum wage to \$9.30 per hour with annual increases of \$0.90 each January 1 until it reaches \$12 per hour effective January 2020, and annually adjusting it thereafter for cost-of-living increases?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST 🔘

Amendment 71 (Constitutional)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution making it more difficult to amend the Colorado constitution by requiring that any petition for a citizen-initiated constitutional amendment be signed by at least two percent of the registered electors who reside in each state senate district for the amendment to be placed on the ballot and increasing the percentage of votes needed to pass any proposed constitutional amendment from a majority to at least fifty-five percent of the votes cast, unless the proposed constitutional amendment only repeals, in whole or in part, any provision of the constitution?

YES/FOR O

NO/AGAINST 🔘

Amendment 72 (Constitutional)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$315.7 MILLION ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION INCREASING TOBACCO TAXES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2017, INCREASING TAXES ON CIGARETTES BY 8.75 CENTS PER CIGARETTE (\$1.75 PER PACK OF 20 CIGARETTES) AND ON OTHER TOBACCO PRODUCTS BY 22 PERCENT OF THE MANUFACTURER'S LIST PRICE; AND ALLOCATING SPECIFIED PERCENTAGES OF THE NEW TOBACCO TAX REVENUE TO HEALTH-RELATED PROGRAMS AND TOBACCO EDUCATION, PREVENTION, AND CESSATION PROGRAMS CURRENTLY FUNDED BY EXISTING CONSTITUTIONAL TOBACCO TAXES; AND ALSO ALLOCATING NEW REVENUE FOR TOBACCO-RELATED HEALTH RESEARCH. VETERANS PROGRAMS, CHILD AND ADOLESCENT BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, CONSTRUCTION AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS FOR QUALIFIED HEALTH PROVIDERS. EDUCATIONAL LOAN REPAYMENT FOR HEALTH PROFESSIONALS IN RURAL AND UNDERSERVED AREAS, AND HEALTH PROFESSIONAL TRAINING TRACKS?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST 🔾

State of Colorado

Proposition 106 (Statutory)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado revised statutes to permit any mentally capable adult Colorado resident who has a medical prognosis of death by terminal illness within six months to receive a prescription from a willing licensed physician for medication that can be self-administered to bring about death; and in connection therewith, requiring two licensed physicians to confirm the medical prognosis, that the terminally-ill patient has received information about other care and treatment options, and that the patient is making a voluntary and informed decision in requesting the medication; requiring evaluation by a licensed mental health professional if either physician believes the patient may not be mentally capable; granting immunity from civil and criminal liability and professional discipline to any person who in good faith assists in providing access to or is

present when a patient self-administers the medication; and establishing criminal penalties for persons who

knowingly violate statutes relating to the request for the

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST (

Proposition 107 (Statutory)

nedication?

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes recreating a presidential primary election to be held before the end of March in each presidential election year in which unaffiliated electors may vote without declaring an affiliation with a political party?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST (

Proposition 108 (Statutory)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning the process of selecting candidates representing political parties on a general election ballot, and, in connection therewith, allowing an unaffiliated elector to vote in the primary election of a political party without declaring an affiliation with that party and permitting a political party in specific circumstances to select all of its candidates by assembly or convention instead of by brimary election?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

City of Sheridan

Ballot Issue 2A

SHALL THE CITY OF SHERIDAN TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$1,400,000.00 ANNUALLY BEGINNING IN 2017 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR OF SUCH TAX INCREASE) AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY IMPOSING, EFFEC<mark>TIV</mark>E JANUARY 1, 2017, A NEW EXCISE TAX UPON THE FIRST SALE OR TRANSFER OF UNPROCESSED RETAIL MARIJUANA BY A RETAIL MARIJUANA CULTIVATION FACILITY WITHIN THE CITY AND UPON THE SALE OR TRANSFER OF RETAIL MARIJUANA AND RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS WITHIN THE CITY, ALL AS LEGALIZED BY ARTICLE XVIII, SECTION 16 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AT THE RATE OF FIVE PERCENT (WHICH RATE MAY BE ADJUSTED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON EITHER THE FIRST SALE OR TRANSFER OF UNPROCESSED RETAIL MARIJUANA BY A RETAIL MARIJUANA CULTIVATION FACILITY OR UPON THE SALE OR TRANSFER OF RETAIL MARIJUANA AND RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS OR UPON BOTH SUCH SALES OR TRANSFERS WITHOUT FURTHER VOTER APPROVAL SO LONG AS SUCH RATE DOES NOT EXCEED TEN PERCENT), WITH SUCH EXCISE TAX TO BE IN ADDITION TO THE APPLICATION OF THE CITY'S SALES TAX, AND THE REVENUE RECEIVED BY THE CITY FROM THE COLLECTION OF THE EXCISE TAX TO BE USED TO PAY OR REIMBURSE THE CITY FOR DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS INCURRED OR EXPENDED BY THE CITY RELATED TO THE REGULATION OF THE USE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA AND RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS, AND FOR OTHER GENERAL PURPOSES OF THE CITY, AND WITH THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAX AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT THEREOF TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED NUE CHANGE LINDER ARTICLE OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

YES 🔿

NO 🔘

City of Englewood

Ballot Issue 2B

SHALL CITY OF ENGLEWOOD TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$512,500 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THERE AFTER BY IMPOSING AN ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 3.5% ON THE SALE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA AND RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS, WITH THE TAX REVENUES BEING USED TO FUND ANY LAWFUL GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSE DETERMINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, WITH THE RATE OF THE TAX BEING ALLOWED TO BE INCREASED OR DECREASED WITHOUT FURTHER VOTER APPROVAL SO LONG AS THE RATE OF TAXATION DOES NOT EXCEED 15%, AND THE RESULTING TAX REVENUE BEING ALLOWED TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY LIMITATIONS PROVIDED BY LAW?

YES 🔘

NO 🔾

Ballot Issue 2C

SHALL THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD DEBT BE INCREASED \$27 MILLION, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$47.9 MILLION, AND SHALL THE CITY TAXES BE INCREASED BY UP TO \$2.2 MILLION ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTECTING CRIME VICTIMS, POLICE OFFICERS AND THE COMMUNITY BY FINANCING THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPPING OF LAND, IF NECESSARY, AND RELATED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR USE AS A NEW ENGLEWOOD POLICE DEPARTMENT HEADQUARTERS BUILDING INCLUDING:

- PROVIDING MODERN FACILITIES FOR OUR POLICE TO PRESERVE RECORDS AND DNA EVIDENCE FROM COLD CASE FILES TO MEET NATIONAL CERTIFICATION STANDARDS;

 PROVIDING SUFFICIENT SECURED AREAS SO VICTIMS WHO GIVE THEIR STATEMENTS ABOUT A CRIME ARE NOT IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THOSE ARRESTED FOR COMMITTING THE CRIME;

- REPLACING THE CURRENT POLICE STATION WITH A FACILITY THAT ALLOWS FOR MODERN INTERNET, MODERN COMPUTERS, LOCKER ROOMS AND TRAINING EQUIPMENT FOR UP-TO-DATE POLICING NEEDS; AND

- PROVIDING ENGLEWOOD POLICE ADDITIONAL TOOLS, SUCH AS MORE HOLDING CELLS THAT THEY NEED TO MORE SAFELY HANDLE THOSE ARRESTED FOR SUSPECTED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY AND PROTECT THE PUBLIC SAFETY, AND SECURED PARKING TO PROTECT OFFICERS AND THEIR FAMILIES;

AND TO THE EXTENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE ADDRESSING OTHER SAFETY SERVICES NEEDS, BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS WHICH SHALL BEAR INTEREST, MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM, AND BE ISSUED AT SUCH TIME, AT SUCH PRICE (AT ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THIS BALLOT ISSUE, AS THE CITY COUNCIL MAY DETERMINE; SHALL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED WITHOUT LIMIT AS TO THE MILL RATE TO GENERATE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT IN EACH YEAR TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT AND SHALL ANY INVESTMENT EARNINGS FROM THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

YES 🔘

NO 🔘

Ballot Issue 300

SHALL THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD IMPOSE AN ADDITIONAL SALES TAX OF 3.5% THAT MAY BE RAISED UP TO 15% ON THE SALE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA IN ENGLEWOOD; PROVIDED THE TAX SHALL NOT BE RAISED ABOVE 3.5% ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2018, AND CANNOT BE RAISED BY MORE THAN 1.5% PER YEAR, WITH THE TAX REVENUES BEING COLLECTED AND USED TO FUND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT, EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS DESIGNATED BY THE CITY AND OTHER GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD?

YES 🔾

NO 🔾

.

Ballot Question 301

A "yes" vote for this Initiative will prohibit the retail sale, commercial cultivation and manufacture of Recreational Marijuana within the City Limits of Englewood. A "no" vote indicates the voter does not want to prohibit the retail sale, commercial cultivation and manufacture of Recreational Marijuana within the City Limits of Englewood.

In support of Amendment 64 (Section 5, "Regulation of Marijuana," Part 9F*) as passed by Colorado voters in 2012, should the citizens of the City of Englewood, Colorado exercise their right and prohibit the sale of Marijuana for recreational use as well prohibit Marijuana cultivation and manufacturing facilities within City Limits? This in no way affects the personal use of Marijuana according to said Amendment.

*Amendment 64, SECTION 5, Regulation of Marijuana, "Part 9F": "A LOCALITY MAY PROHIBIT THE OPERATION OF MARIJUANA CULTIVATION FACILITIES, MARIJUANA PRODUCT MANUFACTURING FACILITIES, MARIJUANA TESTING FACILITIES, OR RETAIL MARIJUANA STORES THROUGH THE ENACTMENT OF AN RESOLUTION OR THROUGH AN INITIATED OR REFERRED MEASURE."

YES 🔘

NO 🔘

Ballot Question 302

Shall the City of Englewood Municipal Code be amended to permit for the regulation and licensing of Retail Marijuana stores, subject to the conditions and limitations set forth in the Initiative Petition?

YES 🔘

NO \bigcirc

Cherry Creek School District No. 5

Ballot Issue 3A

SHALL CHERRY CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 5
TAXES BE INCREASED \$23,900,000 FOR TAX
COLLECTION YEAR 2017 AND BY SUCH AMOUNT
ANNUALLY THEREAFTER WHICH, WHEN COMBINED
WITH OTHER AMOUNTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., DOES NOT EXCEED
TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT OF THE DISTRICT'S TOTAL
PROGRAM FUNDING (AS SUCH TERM IS DEFINED IN
STATE LAW OR ANY SIMILAR TERMS PROVIDED IN
ANY SUCCESSOR PROVISION OF STATE LAW); SUCH
ADDITIONAL REVENUES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE
GENERAL FUND AND USED FOR EDUCATIONAL
PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

MAINTAIN CLASS SIZES IN THE KINDERGARTEN THROUGH TWELFTH GRADES;

PROVIDE STUDENTS WITH THE CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION NECESSARY FOR SUCCESS IN COLLEGE AND THE WORKPLACE;

CONTINUE THE DISTRICT'S COMMITMENT TO ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE AND PROVIDE AN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM OF THE HIGHEST QUALITY AND STANDARDS:

UTILIZE TECHNOLOGY AND COMPUTER
APPLICATIONS TO PREPARE STUDENTS FOR
CAREERS OR HIGHER EDUCATION;

AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

YES 🔘

NO 🔘

Ballot Issue 3B

SHALL CHERRY CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 5
DEBT BE INCREASED \$250,000,000 WITH A
REPAYMENT COST OF \$460,000,000, AND SHALL
DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$33,250,000
ANNUALLY TO PAY SUCH DEBT, ALL FOR THE
PURPOSE TO:

CONSTRUCT AND EQUIP A CAREER AND INNOVATION ACADEMY TO INCREASE THE PATHWAYS FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS AND BE PREPARED FOR CAREERS:

RENOVATE LEARNING SPACE AT ALL ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOLS TO PROVIDE FOR INNOVATIVE EDUCATIONAL DELIVERY AND INSTRUCTION:

ACQUIRE, CONSTRUCT AND EQUIP SCHOOL BUILDINGS AND OTHER SCHOOL FACILITIES TO MANAGE STUDENT GROWTH;

REPAIR, RENOVATE, OR MAKE ADDITIONS TO SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, MODERNIZE WIRING, FIRE PROTECTION, HEAT AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS AND PLUMBING AT EXISTING SCHOOLS TO PROVIDE FOR THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND COMFORT OF STUDENTS AND ITEACHERS:

EQUIP OR FURNISH SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SCHOOL SAFETY EQUIPMENT, TECHNOLOGY AND INTERNET OR NETWORK EQUIPMENT:

ACQUIRE OR PURCHASE BUILDINGS OR LAND AND IMPROVE SCHOOL GROUNDS, INCLUDING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS TO THE ARRIVAL AND DISMISSAL AREA FOR STUDENTS;

AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE AND AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT); SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE, FOR A PRICE ABOVE OR BELOW THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH SERIES, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS PERMITTED BY LAW, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED ONE PERCENT; AND SHALL SUCH TAX REVENUES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH BOND PROCEEDS AND TAX REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

YES 🔿

NO 🔘

Ballot Issue 3C

SHALL JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 28J (AURORA PUBLIC SCHOOLS) DEBT BE INCREASED BY \$300 MILLION, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$498 MILLION, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$49 MILLION ANNUALLY, WITH THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH DEBT TO BE USED, TOGETHER WITH ANY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FROM THE STATE UNDER THE BUILDING EXCELLENT SCHOOLS TODAY ACT ("BEST") OR OTHER GRANT PROGRAMS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING CAPITAL ASSETS FOR DISTRICT PURPOSES, WHICH MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING:

ADDING CLASSROOM SPACE AT OVERCROWDED SCHOOLS;

PROTECTING STUDENTS AND STAFF BY REPLACING OR REPAIRING LEAKING ROOFS ON SCHOOL BUILDINGS;

ADDING SECURITY CAMERAS AND NEW BUILDING SECURITY SYSTEMS TO ENHANCE STUDENT AND STAFF SAFETY;

UPGRADING COMPUTER AND INTERNET TECHNOLOGY AT ALL SCHOOLS:

REPAIRING OR REPLACING AGING HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS WITH ENERGY EFFICIENT SYSTEMS;

BUILDING A NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL TO REPLACE MRACHEK MIDDLE SCHOOL (TO BE FUNDED IN PART WITH A "BEST" GRANT WHICH REQUIRES PASSAGE OF THIS BALLOT ISSUE);

BUILDING A NEW 6TH GRADE THROUGH 12TH GRADE SCHOOL IN NORTHWEST AURORA AND A NEW PRESCHOOL THROUGH 8TH GRADE SCHOOL IN EAST AURORA;

REMODELING AND MAKING CLASSROOM IMPROVEMENTS AND ADDITIONS TO OLDER SCHOOLS;

CONSTRUCTING A BUILDING THAT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT MAY LEASE TO A STATE INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION: AND

MAKING REPAIRS AND IMPROVEMENTS TO EVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT-WIDE:

AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE BUT IN NO EVENT MORE THAN \$49 MILLION ANNUALLY, WHICH AMOUNT SHALL BE SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT WHEN DUE; THE AUTHORITY FOR SUCH TAX AND MILL LEVY INCREASE TO TERMINATE WHEN THE DEBT OR REFUNDING DEBT IS PAID; SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR OTHER MULTIPLE FISCAL YEAR FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS WHICH MAY BE SOLD FROM TIME TO TIME TO INVESTORS OR ISSUED TO THE STATE TREASURER UNDER THE "BEST" PROGRAM IN AN AGGREGATE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THE MAXIMUM AUTHORIZED PRINCIPAL AMOUNT AND REPAYMENT COST, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM NOT TO EXCEED 1%; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT'S DEBT LIMIT BE INCREASED FROM AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 20% OF THE DISTRICT'S ASSESSED VALUE TO AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 6% OF THE DISTRICT'S ACTUAL VALUE, EACH AS CERTIFIED BY THE COUNTY ASSESSORS OF ADAMS AND ARAPAHOE COUNTIES?

YES 🔾

NO 🔾



Englewood Public Schools

Inglewood Fublic School

Ballot Issue 3D

SHALL ARAPAHOE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 (ENGLEWOOD SCHOOLS) TAXES BE INCREASED \$1.5 MILLION ANNUALLY (THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT WHICH MAY BE COLLECTED IN ANY FISCAL YEAR), BEGINNING IN 2016 FOR COLLECTION OF TAXES IN 2017 AND FOR EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., SUCH ADDITIONAL REVENUES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND AND USED FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

MAINTAINING SAFE AND SECURE SCHOOL BUILDINGS:

ATTRACTING AND RETAINING HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS, SUPPORT STAFF AND LEADERS;

MAINTAINING CURRENT INSTRUCTIONAL RESOURCES;

PROVIDING UP-TO-DATE TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS;

MAINTAINING DISTRICT FACILITIES AND GROUNDS;

AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

YES 🔘

NO \bigcirc

Ballot Issue 3E

SHALL ARAPAHOE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 (ENGLEWOOD SCHOOLS) DEBT BE INCREASED \$97.5 MILLION WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$195 MILLION, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$10.2 MILLION ANNUALLY TO PAY SUCH DEBT, WITH THE PROCEEDS TO BE USED, TOGETHER WITH ANY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FROM THE STATE UNDER THE BUILDING EXCELLENT SCHOOLS TODAY ACT ("BEST") OR OTHER GRANT PROGRAMS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING CAPITAL ASSETS FOR DISTRICT PURPOSES, WHICH MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:

ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, EQUIPPING AND FURNISHING NEW FACILITIES TO REPLACE AGING AND OUT OF DATE FACILITIES AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

BISHOP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (CONSTRUCTED IN 1956);

CHERRELYN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (CONSTRUCTED IN 1948):

CHARLES HAY WORLD SCHOOL (CONSTRUCTED IN

CLAYTON ELEMENTARY (CONSTRUCTED IN 1948);

MADDOX EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTER (CONSTRUCTED IN 1953);

SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR OTHER MULTIPLE FISCAL YEAR FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS WHICH MAY BE SOLD FROM TIME TO TIME TO INVESTORS OR ISSUED TO THE STATE TREASURER UNDER THE "BEST" PROGRAM IN AN AGGREGATE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THE MAXIMUM AUTHORIZED PRINCIPAL AMOUNT AND REPAYMENT COST, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM NOT TO EXCEED 1%; AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE (PROVIDED THAT SUCH RATE SHALL NOT PRODUCE REVENUE IN EXCESS OF \$10.2 MILLION ANNUALLY AS SET FORTH ABOVE), WHICH AMOUNT IS SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT); AND SHALL THE DISTRICT'S DEBT LIMIT BE INCREASED FROM AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 20% OF THE DISTRICT'S ASSESSED VALUE TO AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO 6% OF THE DISTRICT'S ACTUAL VALUE, AS CERTIFIED BY THE COUNTY ASSESSOR OF

YES 🔾

ARAPAHOE COUNTY?

NO 🔾

Deer Trail School District No. 26J

Ballot Issue 3F

SHALL DEER TRAIL SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 26J DEBT BE INCREASED BY \$6.8 MILLION, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$10.7 MILLION, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$540,000 ANNUALLY, WITH THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH DEBT TO BE USED TOGETHER WITH ANY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FROM THE STATE UNDER THE BUILDING EXCELLENT SCHOOLS TODAY ACT ("BEST") AND/OR OTHER GRANT PROGRAMS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING CAPITAL ASSETS FOR DISTRICT PURPOSES, WHICH MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING: ADDRESSING THE HEALTH, SAFETY, SECURITY, AND EDUCATIONAL DEFICIENCIES IN THE AGING PK-12 SCHOOL BY DEMOLISHING THE EXISTING PK-12 SCHOOL AND CONSTRUCTING A NEW FACILITY ON DISTRICT OWNED PROPERTY; UPDATING TECHNOLOGY, AND MODERNIZING CLASSROOMS TO PROMOTE THE TEACHING OF SKILLS NECESSARY TO PREPARE STUDENTS TO

COMPETE IN THE 21 ST CENTURY WORKFORCE;

ENHANCING FACILITY SECURITY STANDARDS IN

ORDER TO IMPROVE SAFETY OF STUDENTS AND

IMPROVING ATHLETIC FACILITIES, UPDATING AND

STAFF: SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, WHICH AMOUNT SHALL BE SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT WHEN DUE; THE AUTHORITY FOR SUCH TAX AND MILL LEVY INCREASE TO TERMINATE WHEN THE DEBT OR REFUNDING DEBT IS PAID; SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR OTHER MULTIPLE FISCAL YEAR FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS WHICH MAY BE SOLD FROM TIME TO TIME TO INVESTORS OR ISSUED TO THE STATE TREASURER UNDER THE "BEST" PROGRAM IN AN AGGREGATE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THE MAXIMUM AUTHORIZED PRINCIPAL AMOUNT AND REPAYMENT COST, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT

YES 🔘

PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM?

NO O

The Denver Metropolitan Scientific and Cultural Facilities District ("SCFD")

Ballot Issue 4B

SHALL THERE BE AN EXTENSION UNTIL JUNE 30. 2030, OF THE AGGREGATE 0.1 PERCENT SALES AND USE TAXES CURRENTLY LEVIED AND COLLECTED BY THE DENVER METROPOLITAN SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL FACILITIES DISTRICT THAT ARE SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON JUNE 30, 2018, FOR ASSISTING SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL FACILITIES WITHIN THE DISTRICT, WHILE AUTHORIZING THE DISTRICT TO CONTINUE TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND ALL REVENUE GENERATED BY SUCH TAX IN EXCESS OF THE LIMITATION PROVIDED IN ARTICLE > OF SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND WHILE MODIFYING THE RATES OF THE THREE INDIVIDUAL SALES AND USE TAXES COLLECTED BY THE DISTRICT AS FOLLOWS: FOR TOTAL ANNUAL REVENUES COLLECTED BY THE DISTRICT UP TO THIRTY-EIGHT MILLION DOLLARS, DECREASING THE .0655 PERCENT SALES AND USE TAX TO .064 PERCENT; INCREASING THE .021 PERCENT SALES AND USE TAX TO .022 PERCENT: AND INCREASING THE .0135 PERCENT SALES AND USE TAX TO .014 PERCENT; AND, FOR TOTAL ANNUAL REVENUES COLLECTED BY THE DISTRICT THAT EXCEED THIRTY-EIGHT MILLION DOLLARS, DECREASING THE .064 PERCENT SALES AND USE TAX TO .057 PERCENT; INCREASING THE .022 PERCENT SALES AND USE TAX TO .026 PERCENT; AND INCREASING THE .014 PERCENT SALES AND USE TAX TO .017

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST 🔘

Bennett Fire Protection District No. 7

Ballot Issue 4C

SHALL BENNETT FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 7'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$777,655 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR OR BY SUCH AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX RATE OF UP TO 4.000 MILLS, COMMENCING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2017, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER. RESULTING IN A TOTAL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAX RATE, EXCLUSIVE OF REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS, OR DEBT SERVICE, NOT TO EXCEED 12.907 MILLS, TO PAY GENERAL OPERATING AND CAPITAL EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT INCLUDING REPLACING OLDER FIRE APPARATUS, RENOVATING AND CONSTRUCTING BUILDINGS, AND PERSONNEL COSTS NECESSARY TO IMPROVE DISTRICT SERVICES; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX RATE AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, OFFSET AND EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER TABOR (ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION) OR ANY OTHER LAW AND AS A PERMANENT WAIVER OF THE 5.5% LIMITATION UNDER SECTION 29-1-301. C.R.S.?

YES 🔘

NO \bigcirc

Sundance Hills Metropolitan District

Ballot Issue 5A

"SHALL THE SUNDANCE HILLS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT, WITHOUT CREATING ANY NEW TAX OR INCREASING ANY CURRENT TAX, BE PERMITTED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY IN 20<mark>16 AND ANY YEAR THEREAFTER FROM ITS MILL</mark> LEVY, SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES, INTEREST INCOME, FEES, GRANTS AND ANY OTHER INCOME OF THE DISTRICT, SUCH AUTHORITY TO COLLECT AND EXPEND SUCH AMOUNTS TO CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, TAX REDUCTION OR OTHER LIMITATIONS CONTAINED EITHER WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AS THE SAME EXISTS AND AS IT MAY BE AMENDED AND SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

YES 🔾

NO 🔘

Murphy Creek Metropolitan District No. 3

Ballot Issue 5B

SHALL MURPHY CREEK METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 3 TAXES BE INCREASED \$700,000 ANNUALLY (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2017) AND BY THE ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS THAT ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN UNLIMITED AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX LEVY (SUCH TAX INCREASE TO BE AUTHORIZED NOTWITHSTANDING ANY PROVISION OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, INCLUDING ANY FUTURE AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION IMPOSING PROPERTY TAX CUTS) OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, COVENANT ENFORCEMENT, DESIGN REVIEW, OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER SIMILAR EXPENSES BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE OR AMOUNT OR ANY OTHER CONDITION. TO PAY SUCH EXPENSES AND SHALL THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

YES 🔾

NO 🔾

Saddle Rock South Metropolitan District No. 2

Saddle Rock South Metropolitan District No. 4

Ballot Issue 5C

SHALL SADDLE ROCK SOUTH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 TAXES BE INCREASED \$275,000 ANNUALLY (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2017) AND BY THE ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS THAT ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN UNLIMITED AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX LEVY, AS ADJUSTED FROM TIME TO TIME DUE TO LEGISLATIVE AND CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENTS (SUCH TAX INCREASE TO BE AUTHORIZED NOTWITHSTANDING ANY PROVISION OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, INCLUDING ANY FUTURE AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION IMPOSING PROPERTY TAX CUTS) OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, COVENANT ENFORCEMENT, DESIGN REVIEW, OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER SIMILAR EXPENSES BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE OR AMOUNT OR ANY OTHER CONDITION, TO PAY SUCH EXPENSES AND SHALL THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?

YES (

Ballot Issue 5E

SHALL SADDLE ROCK SOUTH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 4 TAXES BE INCREASED \$450,000 ANNUALLY (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2017) AND BY THE ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS THAT ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN UNLIMITED AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX LEVY, AS ADJUSTED FROM TIME TO TIME DUE TO LEGISLATIVE AND CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENTS (SUCH TAX INCREASE TO BE AUTHORIZED NOTWITHSTANDING ANY PROVISION OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, INCLUDING ANY FUTURE AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION IMPOSING PROPERTY TAX CUTS) OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, COVENANT ENFORCEMENT, DESIGN REVIEW, OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER SIMILAR EXPENSES BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE OR AMOUNT OR ANY OTHER CONDITION, TO PAY SUCH EXPENSES AND SHALL THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED,

RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT? YES 🔘

NO 🔘

Saddle Rock South Metropolitan District No. 3

Ballot Issue 5D

SHALL SADDLE ROCK SOUTH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 3 TAXES BE INCREASED \$275,000 ANNUALLY (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2017) AND BY THE ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS THAT ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN UNLIMITED AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX LEVY, AS ADJUSTED FROM TIME TO TIME DUE TO LEGISLATIVE AND CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENTS (SUCH TAX INCREASE TO BE AUTHORIZED NOTWITHSTANDING ANY PROVISION OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, INCLUDING ANY FUTURE AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION IMPOSING PROPERTY TAX CUTS) OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, COVENANT ENFORCEMENT, DESIGN REVIEW, OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER SIMILAR EXPENSES BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE OR AMOUNT OR ANY OTH<mark>ER CONDITION, TO PAY SU</mark>CH EXPENSES AND SHALL THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S., IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED,

RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT? YES 🔘

NO \bigcirc